

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/344,382	06/25/1999	SHUNICHI SOMA	050499/0101	9000
	7590 10/18/2002			
FOLEY & LARDNER		EXAMINER		
3000 K STREET NW			ROMEO, DAVID S	
P O BOX 2569	96 DN, DC 200078696			
WASHINGIC	JN, DC 200078090		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1647	
			DATE MAILED: 10/18/2002	16

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/344,382	SOMA ET AL.	
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	David S Romeo	1647	
The MAILING DATE of this communication	on appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 09 October 2002 FAILS TO F Therefore, further action by the applicant is require final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be eit condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.	ed to avoid abandonment of this ther: (1) a timely filed amendme Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3)	s application. A proper reply ent which places the applica	y to a ition in
PERIOD F	FOR REPLY [check either a) or	b)]	
·/	he mailing date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date no event, however, will the statutory period for reply ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REF 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136 fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment.	y expire later than SIX MONTHS from PLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONT (a). The date on which the petition und period of extension and the correspore date of the shortened statutory period to the Office later than three months after	the mailing date of the final rejecting the Sof THE FINAL REJECTION. The 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropertion amount of the fee. The appropersion of the fee in the final some strong the some	on. See MPEP opriate extension ropriate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>08 October 2</u> 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (orth in
$2. \boxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be ent	tered because:		
(a) X they raise new issues that would require	e further consideration and/or s	search (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see	Note below);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the applicationissues for appeal; and/or	cation in better form for appeal	by materially reducing or sir	mplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without	canceling a corresponding num	ber of finally rejected claim	S.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following	g rejection(s):		
4. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s)	would be allowable if submitte	d in a separate, timely filed	amendment

Claim(s) allowed: _____.

Claim(s) objected to: _____.

Claim(s) rejected: 22-28,43,45,49 and 50.

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 29-42, 44, 46-48.

8. The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). _____.

10. Other: ____

5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☒ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the

6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly

7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

David S Romeo Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1647

canceling the non-allowable claim(s).

raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.



Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because claim 51 raises new issues that would require further consideration and/or search, and claim 51 is not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants' arguments are directed to the newly proposed or amended claims and that amendment has not been entered. In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., sustained release dosage form from an implanted osmotic pump, administration to non-parathyroidectomized patients) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See In re Van Geuns, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993). The argument that the references do not suggest tooth movement with continuous administration is simply not credible in view of the observation of tooth movement in the presence of PTH. Patentability requires novelty and unobviousness in light of the prior art, not in light of what the inventor knew and included in his patent application. The "continuous" administration of the present claims does not distinguish over the administration of the prior art. Although Applicants refer to Exhibits 1, 2, and 3, these Exhibits are not of record in the present application. Furthermore, entry of a new amendment, new affidavit, or other new evidence in an application on appeal is not a matter of right. The entry of an amendment (which may or may not include a new affidavit, declaration, or exhibit) submitted in an application on appeal continues to be governed by 37 CFR 1.116, and the entry of a new affidavit or other new evidence in an application on appeal is governed by 37 CFR 1.195.